

## Annexure G1

### Expanded Public Works Programme (EPWP) report for the period April to September 2009 (Year 6) (Cumulative: 1 April 2009 to 30 September 2009) Report: Municipalities Consolidated per Sector

National Totals	718	R 7416.5 m	R 2775.7 m	14,532	4.83	66,640	66,640	48%	37%	0.090%	R 79	R 284.8 m
Sector	Number of Projects	2009/10 Allocated Project Budget (Including Professional Fees)	Expenditure (Including Professional Fees) 1 April 2009 to 30 September 2009	Person-Years of Work including training (1 April 2009 to 30 September 2009)	Person-Years of Training (1 April 2009 to 30 September 2009)	Gross Number of Work opportunities Created (1 April 2009 to 30 September 2009)	Calculated Net Number of Work Opportunities Created (1 April 2009 to 30 September 2009)	% Youth	% Women	% People with Disabilities	Average Manual workers Minimum Daily Wage Rate	Calculated Wages paid out to employees on EPWP Projects (1 April 2009 to 30 September 2009)
Infrastructure Sector	662	R 7,287,934,835.19	R 2,766,173,158	13,671	0.022	62,768	62,768	50%	38%	0.091%	R 79.58	R 272,301,139
Environment & Culture Sector	15	R 75,000,000.00	R 1,104,682	433		1,639	1,639	3%	3%	0.061%	R 81.73	R 8,844,950
Social Sector	41	R 53,548,637.58	R 8,412,398	428	4.809	2,233	2,233	25%	18%	0.090%	R 56.76	R 3,681,560

1. This EPWP report is based on information received from reporting bodies across identifies EPWP Sector Departments for the period 1 April 2009 to 30 September 2009 and should be read in conjunction with the narrative section of the relevant EPWP Quarterly Report.
2. This consolidated report has been generated from a per project dataset. Data received from national and provincial governments, as well as municipalities.
3. Project budgets are based on reports received, some of these budgets might run over multiple financial years. Infrastructure Sector budgets are not only based on PIG and MIG funding, but also on Provincial Equitable Shares.
4. Zero's or blank fields imply that reporting bodies did not report on requested information.
5. A work opportunity is paid work created for an individual for any period of time. The same individual can be employed on different projects and each period of employment will be counted as a work opportunity.
6. A work opportunity in the Infrastructure Sector has an average duration of four (4) months and in the Environment & Culture Sector an average duration of six (6) months.
7. The "Gross Number of Work Opportunities" is the overall number of work opportunities that the reporting body has reported on.
8. The "Net Number of Work Opportunities" is calculated by subtracting the possible work opportunities if the projects were implemented machine intensively (MI) from the "Gross Work Opportunities". Thus these net work opportunities can be viewed as the EPWP value added opportunities. This calculation only applies to the Infrastructure Sector.
9. One Person-Year of Work is equal to 230 paid working days including paid training days. The calculated wages paid out to employees on EPWP projects have been calculated by multiplying the minimum wage rate with the person-days of work.
10. Please note that rounding of the figures for the Person-Years of Training accounts for the discrepancy between annexure G1, G2 and G3. The corresponding figures have been verified as correct.
11. Projects reported on the template in Q1 have not been captured on the WBS. These projects did not reflect budget, expenditure, programme name when reported.

## Annexure G2

### Expanded Public Works Programme (EPWP) report for the period April to September 2009 (Year 6) (Cumulative: 1 April 2009 to 30 September 2009) Report: District Municipalities and Metros per Province

Sub Totals	457	R 5919.6 m	R 2297.8 m	12,123	4.81	57,756	57,756	47%	37%	0.042%	R 79	R 246.6 m
Local Government District Municipalities (DM)	Number of Projects	2009/10 Allocated Project Budget (Including Professional Fees)	Expenditure (Including Professional Fees) 1 April 2009 to 30 September 2009	Person-Years of Work including training (1 April 2009 to 30 September 2009)	Person-Years of Training (1 April 2009 to 30 September 2009)	Gross Number of Work opportunities Created (1 April 2009 to 30 September 2009)	Calculated Net Number of Work Opportunities Created (1 April 2009 to 30 September 2009)	% Youth	% Women	% People with Disabilities	Average Manual workers Minimum Daily Wage Rate	Calculated Wages paid out to employees on EPWP Projects (1 April 2009 to 30 September 2009)
<b>Eastern Cape</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>R 1,114,095,176</b>	<b>R 215,964,547</b>	<b>811</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>6,682</b>	<b>6,682</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>0.001</b>	<b>R 76</b>	<b>R 13,382,823</b>
Alfred Nzo	6	R 493,716,252	R 2,007,269	49	0.00	615	615	57%	45%		R 63.33	R 695,205
Amatole	37	R 135,600,451	R 61,230,767	179	0.00	1,547	1,547	45%	29%		R 81.63	R 3,087,804
Chris Hanu	26	R 127,154,186	R 39,157,466	233	0.00	2,271	2,271	35%	36%	0.002	R 79.43	R 4,025,291
Nelson Mandela Bay Metro	37	R 235,907,891	R 101,104,480	204	0.00	1,291	1,291	41%	5%	0.002	R 78.26	R 2,969,137
O.R.Tambo	23	R 45,489,188	R 1,844,730	45	0.00	616	616	45%	39%		R 65.65	R 663,030
Ukhahlamba	11	R 76,227,207	R 10,619,835	100	0.00	342	342	57%	27%		R 79.91	R 1,942,356
<b>Northern Cape</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>R 23,027,829</b>	<b>R 4,935,434</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>95</b>				<b>R 66</b>	<b>R 166,380</b>
Frances Baard	1	R 4,701,446	R 1,899,129	2	0.00	25	25	36%	4%		R 56.00	R 29,400
Kgalagadi	1	R 10,731,246	R 77,508	4	0.00	29	29	41%	34%		R 60.00	R 60,540
Siyanda	1	R 7,595,137	R 2,958,797	6	0.00	41	41	63%	22%		R 60.00	R 76,440
<b>Western Cape</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>R 26,973,508</b>	<b>R 3,488,570</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>114</b>				<b>R 95</b>	<b>R 458,697</b>
City of Cape Town Metro	3	R 26,973,508	R 3,488,570	16	0.00	114	114	60%	13%		R 126.45	R 458,697
<b>Free State</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>R 160,149,844</b>	<b>R 31,302,896</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>1,322</b>	<b>1,322</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>0.001</b>	<b>R 74</b>	<b>R 921,571</b>
Fezile Dabi	2	R 14,293,091	R 1,770,040	12	0.00	87	87	84%	43%		R 60.00	R 166,100
Lejweleputswa	1	R 18,238,695	R 3,627,125	8	0.00	43	43	67%	12%		R 72.00	R 136,224
Motheo	1	R 75,000,000	R 1,104,682	9	0.00	93	93	45%	45%	0.011	R 55.00	R 112,530
Thabo Mofutsanyane	3	R 52,618,057	R 24,801,048	79	0.00	1,099	1,099	12%	6%		R 85.08	R 506,717
<b>Mpumalanga</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>R 47,951,077</b>	<b>R 27,122,454</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>297</b>	<b>297</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>0.000</b>	<b>R 70</b>	<b>R 472,785</b>
Gert Sibande	3	R 47,951,077	R 27,122,454	34	0.00	88	88	59%	26%		R 61.67	R 472,785
Nkangala	11			29	0.00	209	209					-
<b>Gauteng</b>	<b>164</b>	<b>R 3,426,162,185</b>	<b>R 1,683,189,209</b>	<b>7,018</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>28,075</b>	<b>28,075</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>0.000</b>	<b>R 86</b>	<b>R 150,771,195</b>
City of Tshwane Metro	31	R 400,102,760	R 135,991,420	352	0.00	1,786	1,786	51%	21%		R 103.09	R 8,996,033
Ekurhuleni Metro	17	R 338,396,434	R 262,476,253	540	0.00	1,612	1,612	50%	24%	0.003	R 90.05	R 12,721,603
Johannesburg Metro	102	R 2,687,662,991	R 1,284,721,536	6,005	0.00	24,246	24,246	54%	20%	0.000	R 77.60	R 129,053,560
West Rand	14			121	0.00	431	431					
<b>Limpopo</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>R 372,137,848</b>	<b>R 48,745,898</b>	<b>434</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>1,357</b>	<b>1,357</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>0.000</b>	<b>R 70</b>	<b>R 6,940,973</b>
Capricorn	1	R 7,223,529	R 250,000	9	0.00	19	19	68%			R 70.00	R 138,320
Mopani	6	R 45,615,806	R 15,019,669	81	0.00	199	199	58%	37%		R 70.00	R 1,307,040
Greater Sekhukhune	19	R 219,527,000	R 14,030,677	175	0.00	652	652	61%	44%		R 70.00	R 2,820,930
Vhembe	13	R 94,024,473	R 18,930,781	164	0.00	473	473	59%	49%		R 72.07	R 2,674,683
Waterberg	2	R 5,747,040	R 514,772	5	0.00	14	14	71%	57%		R 70.00	R 79,800

Local Government District Municipalities (DM)	Number of Projects	2009/10 Allocated Project Budget (Including Professional Fees)	Expenditure (Including Professional Fees) 1 April 2009 to 30 September 2009	Person-Years of Work including training (1 April 2009 to 30 September 2009)	Person-Years of Training (1 April 2009 to 30 September 2009)	Gross Number of Work opportunities Created (1 April 2009 to 30 September 2009)	Calculated Net Number of Work Opportunities Created (1 April 2009 to 30 September 2009)	% Youth	% Women	% People with Disabilities	Average Manual workers Minimum Daily Wage Rate	Calculated Wages paid out to employees on EPWP Projects (1 April 2009 to 30 September 2009)
<b>KwaZulu-Natal</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>R 675,927,623</b>	<b>R 261,828,846</b>	<b>3,600</b>	<b>4.81</b>	<b>19,385</b>	<b>19,385</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>0.000</b>	<b>R 82</b>	<b>R 72,194,770</b>
Amajuba	30			6	0.00	58	58				R 74.39	R 87,118
eThekweni Metro	26	R 411,043,209	R 92,269,000	3,289	4.81	17,969	17,969	39%	67%		R 96.14	R 65,985,427
iLembe	2	R 25,164,466	R 13,279,971	27	0.00	144	144	61%	35%		R 66.12	R 409,656
Sisonke	1	R 9,901,950	R 1,390,500		0.00	98	98	93%	65%		R 68.00	R 439,824
Umkhanyakude	3	R 26,058,934	R 10,834,078	12	0.00	149	149	48%	36%		R 57.46	R 165,319
Umkhanyakude	3	R 16,005,122	R 9,465,502	49	0.00	153	153	56%	41%		R 86.00	R 929,186
Uthukela	6	R 50,717,766	R 21,167,902	139	0.00	442	442	68%	47%	0.011	R 97.67	R 2,962,005
uThungulu	5	R 125,467,561	R 111,801,893	45	0.00	254	254	70%	41%	0.004	R 68.00	R 686,651
Zululand	1	R 11,568,615	R 1,620,000	34	0.00	118	118	92%	69%		R 68.00	R 529,584
<b>North West</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>R 73,158,360</b>	<b>R 21,207,308</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>429</b>	<b>429</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>0.009</b>	<b>R 81</b>	<b>R 1,243,670</b>
Bojanala Platinum	2	R 9,000,000	R 7,500,000	26	0.00	119	119	50%	38%	0.034	R 82.00	R 494,870
Dr Ruth Segomotsi Mompati	3	R 24,458,360	R 5,286,099	19	0.00	149	149	67%	32%		R 97.33	R 427,400
Ngaka Modiri Molema	3	R 39,700,000	R 8,421,209	14	0.00	161	161	70%	21%		R 100.00	R 321,400

**Notes & Definitions:**

- This EPWP report is based on information received from reporting bodies across identifies EPWP Sector Departments for the period 1 April 2009 to 30 September 2009 and should be read in conjunction with the narrative section of the relevant EPWP Quarterly Report.
- This consolidated report has been generated from a per project dataset. Data received from national and provincial governments, as well as municipalities.
- Project budgets are based on reports received, some of these budgets might run over multiple financial years. Infrastructure Sector budgets are not only based on PIG and MIG funding, but also on Provincial Equitable Shares.
- Expenditure in some cases are actual expenditure and in other cases transferred funds to provinces and implementing bodies.
- Zero's or blank fields imply that reporting bodies did not report on requested information.
- A work opportunity is paid work created for an individual for any period of time. The same individual can be employed on different projects and each period of employment will be counted as a work opportunity.
- A work opportunity in the Infrastructure Sector has an average duration of four (4) months and in the Environment & Culture Sector an average duration of six (6) months.
- The "Gross Number of Work Opportunities" is the overall number of work opportunities that the reporting body has reported on
- The "Net Number of Work Opportunities" is calculated by subtracting the possible work opportunities if the projects were implemented machine intensively (MI) from the "Gross Work Opportunities". Thus these net work opportunities can be viewed as the EPWP value added opportunities. This calculation only applies to the Infrastructure Sector
- One Person-Year of Work is equal to 230 paid working days including paid training days. The calculated wages paid out to employees on EPWP projects have been calculated by multiplying the minimum wage rate with the person-days of work.
- Projects reported in quarter 3 for West Rand was reallocated to the Central District Municipality in the data submission
- Please note that rounding of the figures for the Person-Years of Training accounts for the discrepancy between annexure G1, G2 and G3. The corresponding figures have been verified as correct.
- Projects reported on the template in Q1 have not been captured on the WBS. These projects did not reflect budget, expenditure, programme name when reported.

## Annexure G3

### Expanded Public Works Programme (EPWP) report for the period April to September 2009 (Year 6) (Cumulative: 1 April 2009 to 30 September 2009) Report: Local Municipalities per Province

Sub Totals	261	R 1496.9 m	R 477.9 m	2,381	0.02	8,884	8,884	56%	34%	0.405%	R 79	R 38.2 m
Local Government Local Municipalities (LM)	Number of Projects	2009/10 Allocated Project Budget (Including Professional Fees)	Expenditure (Including Professional Fees) 1 April 2009 to 30 September 2009	Person-Years of Work including training (1 April 2009 to 30 September 2009)	Person-Years of Training (1 April 2009 to 30 September 2009)	Gross Number of Work opportunities Created (1 April 2009 to 30 September 2009)	Calculated Net Number of Work Opportunities Created (1 April 2009 to 30 September 2009)	% Youth	% Women	% People with Disabilities	Average Manual workers Minimum Daily Wage Rate	Calculated Wages paid out to employees on EPWP Projects (1 April 2009 to 30 September 2009)
<b>Eastern Cape</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>R 242,620,844</b>	<b>R 74,673,831</b>	<b>233</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>1,088</b>	<b>1,088</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>0.002</b>	<b>R 75</b>	<b>R 3,929,587</b>
Baviaans	4	R 6,514,980	R 6,339,774	15.60	0.00	57	57	53%	14%		R 72.50	R 275,640
Buffalo City	7	R 133,552,350	R 52,594,149	105	0.00	476	476	54%	15%		R 77.09	R 1,717,453
Camdeboo	1	R 1,600,000	R 374,799	4	0.00	25	25	68%	20%		R 85.00	R 75,395
Emalahleni EC	3	R 23,963,290	R 227,500	11	0.00	79	79	53%	14%		R 68.33	R 165,885
Intsika Yethu	2	R 28,653,216	R 6,245,000	10	0.00	36	36	44%	14%		R 63.75	R 159,068
Kouga	4	R 14,656,103	R 6,546,309	54	0.00	154	154	42%	26%	0.013	R 78.63	R 973,418
Lukanji	1	R 2,400,000	R 220,000	6	0.00	42	42	45%	31%		R 83.77	R 117,278
Matatiele	2	R 12,589,989	R 83,200	5	0.00	62	62	63%	32%		R 43.00	R 27,036
Ntabankulu	2	R 2,150,000	R 423,000	8	0.00	75	75	40%	24%		R 65.00	R 123,565
Port St Johns	2	R 11,040,916	R 220,100	10	0.00	39	39	46%	51%		R 87.50	R 208,850
Senqu	2	R 5,500,000	R 1,400,000	4	0.00	43	43	81%	30%		R 100.00	R 86,000
<b>Northern Cape</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>R 61,920,091</b>	<b>R 23,346,446</b>	<b>856</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>1,732</b>	<b>1,732</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>0.009</b>	<b>R 67</b>	<b>R 11,862,656</b>
Ga Segonyana	3	R 6,965,013	R 1,892,209	4	0.00	42	42	57%	31%	0.381	R 73.33	R 64,610
Renosterberg	1	R 3,201,705	R 303,384	20	0.00	67	67	57%	43%		R 65.00	R 292,630
Siyathemba	2	R 11,636,605	R 5,791,838	21	0.00	62	62	50%	23%		R 65.00	R 306,690
Soi Plaatjie	6	R 40,116,768	R 15,359,015	811	0.00	1,561	1,561	43%	52%		R 65.33	R 11,198,726
<b>Western Cape</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>R 21,634,997</b>	<b>R 5,390,303</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>560</b>	<b>560</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>0.011</b>	<b>R 91</b>	<b>R 1,074,858</b>
George	1	R 4,500,000	R 93,470	2	0.00	23	23	61%	13%		R 90.00	R 43,470
Oudtshoorn	15	R 8,831,117	R 1,227,434	35	0.00	441	441	60%	24%	0.014	R 89.00	R 715,916
Theewaterskloof	6	R 8,303,880	R 4,069,399	12	0.00	96	96	70%	10%		R 96.12	R 315,472
<b>Free State</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>R 222,189,113</b>	<b>R 62,335,845</b>	<b>250</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>842</b>	<b>842</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>0.004</b>	<b>R 75</b>	<b>R 3,516,518</b>
Maluti a Phofung	7	R 63,285,552	R 31,629,793	148	0.00	346	346	58%	31%		R 72.66	R 1,604,731
Mangaung	14	R 122,539,055	R 24,559,626	91	0.00	434	434	64%	18%		R 76.08	R 1,731,213
Matjhabeng	5	R 36,364,506	R 6,146,427	11	0.00	62	62	74%	26%	0.048	R 73.40	R 180,574
<b>Mpumalanga</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>R 355,502,664</b>	<b>R 160,148,769</b>	<b>189</b>	<b>0.02</b>	<b>1,535</b>	<b>1,535</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>0.000</b>	<b>R 70</b>	<b>R 3,002,316</b>
Albert Luthuli	2	R 3,500,000	R 2,114,134	6	0.00	36	36	50%	39%		R 65.00	R 84,240
Bushbuckridge	13	R 158,372,677	R 76,103,709	60	0.02	444	444	59%	37%		R 63.85	R 879,890
Dipaleseng	1	R 3,381,711	R 2,497,028	5	0.00	23	23	74%	22%		R 70.00	R 77,000
Dr JS Moroka	10	R 32,224,487	R 15,341,157	46	0.00	352	352	40%	46%		R 80.39	R 847,761
Emalahleni MP	1	R 36,571,429	R 19,988,321	5	0.00	19	19	58%	11%		R 70.00	R 73,290
Govan Mbeki	8	R 17,767,941	R 8,675,686	12	0.00	108	108	61%	31%		R 63.75	R 184,470
Lekwa	1	R 4,400,000	R 2,100,000	5	0.00	19	19	79%	26%		R 65.00	R 72,345
Mbombela	6	R 50,645,144	R 16,254,938	18	0.00	190	190	63%	30%		R 61.67	R 255,055
Mkhondo	3	R 15,384,240	R 3,460,254	2	0.00	44	44	66%	50%		R 65.00	R 31,525
Pixley Ka Seme	5	R 11,808,000	R 7,707,655	14	0.00	70	70	79%	24%		R 69.00	R 217,325
Steve Tshwete	10	R 5,314,479	R 3,664,095	7	0.00	109	109	71%	7%		R 83.50	R 133,700
Thaba Chweu	1	R 11,753,685	R 938,000	5	0.00	60	60	70%	27%		R 60.00	R 72,720
Thembisile	2	R 4,378,870	R 1,303,792	5	0.00	61	61	43%	43%		R 62.50	R 72,995

Gauteng		13	R	52,712,757	R	16,994,684	33	0.00	172	172	66%	32%	0.006	R 109	R	894,077
Kungwini	1	R	1,979,667	R	1,235,200	1	0.00	5	5	60%	60%		R	65.00	R	10,075
Mogale City	10	R	37,538,915	R	12,763,816	31	0.00	151	151	65%	32%	0.007	R	114.80	R	855,002
Westonaria	2	R	13,194,175	R	2,995,669	1	0.00	16	16	81%	25%		R	100.00	R	29,000
Local Government Local Municipalities (LM)	Number of Projects		2009/10 Allocated Project Budget (Including Professional Fees)		Expenditure (Including Professional Fees) 1 April 2009 to 30 September 2009	Person-Years of Work including training (1 April 2009 to 30 September 2009)	Person-Years of Training (1 April 2009 to 30 September 2009)	Gross Number of Work opportunities Created (1 April 2009 to 30 September 2009)	Calculated Net Number of Work Opportunities Created (1 April 2009 to 30 September 2009)	% Youth	% Women	% People with Disabilities	Average Manual workers Minimum Daily Wage Rate	Calculated Wages paid out to employees on EPWP Projects (1 April 2009 to 30 September 2009)		
<b>Limpopo</b>		<b>32</b>	<b>R</b>	<b>229,791,296</b>	<b>R</b>	<b>28,204,803</b>	<b>366</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>918</b>	<b>918</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>0.000</b>	<b>R 70</b>	<b>R</b>	<b>5,875,570</b>
Greater Letaba	3	R	7,150,000	R	757,234	16	0.00	35	35	46%	49%		R	70.00	R	254,800
Greater Tzaneen	4	R	14,730,000	R	4,618,448	38	0.00	107	107	38%	21%		R	67.50	R	577,300
Lepele-Nkumpi	3	R	6,500,000	R	60,000	5	0.00	54	54	11%	6%		R	66.67	R	83,280
Lephalale	4	R	20,041,599	R	2,778,536	65	0.00	143	143	59%	54%		R	70.00	R	1,041,040
Makhuduthamaga	2	R	21,118,306	R	1,074,957	15	0.00	34	34	71%	47%		R	70.00	R	247,520
Maruleng	3	R	18,780,000	R	13,168,058	25	0.00	61	61	43%	13%		R	71.67	R	423,000
Mogalakwena	4	R	36,277,680	R	2,216,657	43	0.00	102	102	65%	47%		R	70.00	R	692,510
Polokwane	9	R	105,193,711	R	3,530,914	159	0.00	382	382	53%	48%		R	70.00	R	2,556,120
<b>KwaZulu-Natal</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>R</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>R</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0.000</b>	<b>R 60</b>	<b>R</b>	<b>35,640</b>
Newcastle	2					3	0.00	27	27				R	60.00	R	35,640
<b>North West</b>		<b>61</b>	<b>R</b>	<b>310,528,261</b>	<b>R</b>	<b>106,810,395</b>	<b>404</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>2,010</b>	<b>2,010</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>0.004</b>	<b>R 80</b>	<b>R</b>	<b>8,003,762</b>
City of Matlosana	11	R	27,513,589	R	10,513,616	46	0.00	242	242	63%	26%		R	60.00	R	636,300
Greater Taung	1	R	7,500,000	R	6,000,000	15	0.00	63	63	60%	44%		R	85.00	R	294,525
Madibeng	5	R	19,146,749	R	4,549,614	22	0.00	110	110	67%	26%		R	65.00	R	325,065
Maquassi Hills	5	R	30,569,216	R	14,000,000	26	0.00	140	140	71%	33%		R	74.20	R	376,392
Merafong City	6	R	77,333,234	R	15,845,554	53	0.00	311	311	67%	33%	0.003	R	126.00	R	1,543,248
Moretele	8	R	39,762,187	R	23,894,105	119	0.00	453	453	55%	35%	0.011	R	86.88	R	2,450,470
Moses Kotane	14	R	47,319,791	R	15,555,194	68	0.00	354	354	67%	38%	0.006	R	73.57	R	1,139,545
Tlokwe	2	R	13,535,617	R	8,500,000	30	0.00	115	115	79%	42%		R	119.00	R	803,292
Rustenburg	8	R	46,570,378	R	7,343,979	18	0.00	191	191	73%	20%		R	81.13	R	360,375
Ventersdorp	1	R	1,277,500	R	608,333	6	0.00	31	31	68%	19%		R	50.00	R	74,550

**Notes & Definitions:**

1. This EPWP report is based on information received from reporting bodies across identifies EPWP Sector Departments for the period 1 April 2009 to 30 September 2009 and should be read in conjunction with the narrative section of the relevant EPWP Quarterly Report.
2. This consolidated report has been generated from a per project dataset. Data received from national and provincial governments, as well as municipalities.
3. Project budgets are based on reports received, some of these budgets might run over multiple financial years. Infrastructure Sector budgets are not only based on PIG and MIG funding, but also on Provincial Equitable Shares.
4. Expenditure in some cases are actual expenditure and in other cases transferred funds to provinces and implementing bodies.
5. Zero's or blank fields imply that reporting bodies did not report on requested information.
6. A work opportunity is paid work created for an individual for any period of time. The same individual can be employed on different projects and each period of employment will be counted as a work opportunity.
7. A work opportunity in the Infrastructure Sector has an average duration of four (4) months and in the Environment & Culture Sector an average duration of six (6) months.
8. The "Gross Number of Work Opportunities" is the overall number of work opportunities that the reporting body has reported on.
9. The "Net Number of Work Opportunities" is calculated by subtracting the possible work opportunities if the projects were implemented machine intensively (MI) from the "Gross Work Opportunities". Thus these net work opportunities can be viewed as the EPWP value added opportunities. This calculation only applies to the Infrastructure Sector.
10. One Person-Year of Work is equal to 230 paid working days including paid training days. The calculated wages paid out to employees on EPWP projects have been calculated by multiplying the minimum wage rate with the person-days of work.
11. Please note that rounding of the figures for the Person-Years of Training accounts for the discrepancy between annexure G1, G2 and G3. The corresponding figures have been verified as correct.
11. Please note that rounding of the figures for the Person-Years of Training accounts for the discrepancy between annexure G1, G2 and G3. The corresponding figures have been verified as correct.
12. Projects reported on the template in Q1 have not been captured on the WBS. These projects did not reflect budget, expenditure, programme name when reported.